Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/651,598	FELISS ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Craig A. Renner	2627
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Pending</u>		
(1) Craig A. Renner, Primary Examiner.	(3)	
(2) <u>Dominic M. Kotab, Attorney For Applicants</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 20 September 2007	Time: <u>12:30 PM</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	nt's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: None.		
Claims discussed: Includes claims 1-2, 7, and 12-25.		
Prior art documents discussed: Includes Komiyama (JP 04-082066).		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary 	examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
Cin's h. Romer N/A		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: By examiner's amendment, independent claim 1 was amended in order to more clearly define over the prior art, supra, clarifying language was incorporated into reinstated claims 2, 7, and 25, and non-elected claims 12-24 were cancelled without prejudice or disclaimer.

CRAIG A. RENNER
PRIMARY EXAMINER